

ABSTRACT

ANALYSIS TOWARDS THE VERDICT COURT STATE ADMINISTRATION BANDAR LAMPUNG NUMBER 14/G/2014/PTUN-BL ABOUT APPOINTMENT AND DISMISSAL THE POSITION OF HEADMASTER AT JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL 1 BEKRI IN CENTRAL LAMPUNG REGENCY

**By
SURYA ASMARA**

Syamhudi as Headmaster of Junior High School 1 Bekri submits the claim to the Administrative Court to the Central Lampung Regent related with unfair mutations on him from the Headmasterl became a regular teacher. Decree Number: 821.29/046/LTD.3/2014 that issued by defendant is not appropriate with Regulation of the National Education Minister Number 28 Year 2010 About The Assignment of The Teacher as Headmaster/ Madrasah because defendant is officiate during 2 years being a Headmaster, so appropriate with the regulation if he is mutated should be a Headmaster, while the contains of verdict states that plaintiff is mutated as a teacher in Junior High School 1 Bumi Ratu Nuban. After doing the session almost 3 months, State Administrative Court Bandar Lampung issued the dictum Number:14/G/2014/PTUN-BL about employment dispute between the plaintiff and the defendant with verdict is not acceptable. According to explanation above, so the main problem in this research are: a) how is the legal arrangement towards verdict PTUN Bandar Lampung? b) what is the basic thing judge consideration towards verdict Number 14/G/2014/PTUN-BL?

Approach matter used in this research is normative juridical. Data source use primery and secunder data. Collecting data method is literature study and field study. Data processing are done by several steps such as selection, clarification, and data preparation. Data analysis use descriptive qualitative analysis. According to the research result and discussion, should be doing are: a) Administrative Court has the right to prosecute the case because a decree issued by Regent of Central Lampung including administrative decision in accordance with pasal, Pasal 1 Angka (9) UU No 51 Tahun 2009. b) The basic consideration is Judge Pasal 129 ayat (1) Undang- Undang Nomor 5 Year 2014 on State Civil Apparatus mentioned that "the State Civil administrative Employee Dispute resolved through administrative efforts", so the plaintiff must perform an administrative appeal in BAPEK, the claim was not accepted because it is premature. Suggestions Should matters contained in Undang-Undang Number 5 Year 2014 made reference to the

official boss or who have power in a position to sign a decree Apparatus For state civil employees and for PTUN to be effective in the current process dismissal.

Keyword: PTUN court, office appointment, judge consideratio

