

Appendix 4

Table 3. Percentage of Students' Errors in Spoof Text Writing Based on Surface Strategy Taxonomy and Communicative Effect Taxonomy

No	Names' Code	The Errors		Total Errors	Total Words	Percentage
		Surface Strategy Taxonomy	Communicative Effect Taxonomy			
1	AD	4	3	7	100	7.00
2	ANW	2	2	4	113	3.53
3	AHN	4	2	6	110	5.45
4	AH	2	1	3	100	3.00
5	BH	3	4	7	100	7.00
6	DP	11	10	21	114	18.42
7	DGS	9	7	16	135	11.85
8	EWS	6	2	8	130	6.15
9	ELA	3	2	5	100	5.00
10	ELS	11	5	16	153	10.45
11	FM	3	2	5	120	4.16
12	HD	4	1	5	100	5.00
13	IR	9	4	13	125	10.04
14	IS	4	3	7	105	6.66
15	JSO	5	5	10	160	6.25
16	KW	10	7	17	106	16.03
17	LAK	13	6	19	140	13.57
18	NWA	3	2	5	120	4.16
19	NP	2	2	4	120	3.33
20	NPS	16	4	20	119	16.80
21	NHA	8	3	11	105	10.47
22	OA	7	5	12	115	10.43
23	RN	3	1	4	100	4.00
24	REP	6	3	9	102	8.82
25	RA	6	4	10	135	7.40
26	SY	4	4	8	120	6.66
27	UF	4	3	7	100	7.00
28	VPW	8	4	12	105	11.42
29	VH	4	3	7	110	6.36
30	WP	6	4	10	125	8.00
31	YS	4	3	7	100	7.00
Total		184	111	295	3487	